

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/728,171	12/01/2000	Brent R. Constantz	CORA-007CIP	3702
24353 75	590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, 200 MIDDLEF	FIELD & FRANCIS	KIM, JENNIFER M		
SUITE 200	IELD KD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK	K, CA 94025		1617	1.7
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<u> </u>			CONSTANTZ, BRENT R.				
Office Action Summary	09/728,171		KENI K.				
omee Adden Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Jennifer Kim	1617	ddress				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>							
,	is action is non-final.		de a secuito io				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	Ab!:Ai	·					
	4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 14-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vii irom consideration	•					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-12 and 14-19 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement						
Application Papers	r election requirement	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)) .				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper N ce of Informal Patent Application (P r:					

Application/Control Number: 09/728,171

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed August 6, 2003 have been received and entered into the application.

Any rejection of record not addressed herein is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-5, 7-12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hausheer et al. (U.S.5,902,610) of record.

Hausheer et al. teach a pharmaceutical formulation comprising a solution of an inorganic acid solution (i.e. hydrochloric acid) and a salt (i.e. NaCl), with pH range of 2 to 6, suitable for parenteral administration (i.e. intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, intraarterial, intravenous) by mean administration by hypodermic needle or **catheter**.

Applicant's recitation in claims 1, 8 and 15 of an intended use of catheter for dynamically introducing the solution and aspirating the solution does not represent a patentable limitation since such fails to impart any physical limitation to the composition in the prior. The "kit" to be employed would be inherent upon administration of the composition with the catheter as taught by Hausheer et al.

For these reasons the claimed subject matter is deemed to fail to patentably distinguish over the state of the art as represented by the cited reference. The claims are therefore properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

None of the claims are allowed.

Response to Arguments/102(e) Rejection

Applicant's response essentially argues that the newly added limitation that the fluid delivery means must be one that provides for fluid delivery to and aspiration from a target site and therefore does not teach or even suggest a device as required in the newly amended claims since the reference cited by the Examiner discloses a method based on systemic administration of a fluid. This argument is not persuasive because

Hausheer et al. teach the catheter whether it is dynamically introduce the solution and aspirating the solution from a vascular site it is same device as claimed by Applicant. There is not structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. Applicant has not provided any reason why the same "catheter" utilized by the Hausheer et al. is not capable of performing the intended use since the "kit" claimed by the Applicant comprises same solution having a sub physiologic pH and a fluid delivery device, i.e. catheter. Therefore, the instant claims are still rejectable as anticipated by Hausheer et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 703-308-2232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Application/Control Number: 09/728,171

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Sreenivasan Padmanabhan Supervisory Examiner Art Unit 1617

jmk

October 3, 2003